DOI: 10.20535/2522-1078.2024.2(16).319005
УДК 004:007.8:070

Надходження до редакції: 25.11.2024
Прийняття до друку: 01.12.2024

Markin M.

PhD in technical sciences, Associate professor at the Department of Information and Measurement Technologies, Faculty of Instrumentation Engineering of Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Politechnic Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine, M.Markin@ivt.kpi.ua

ORCID: 0000-0002-7266-5525

Batrak Ye.

PhD in technical sciences, Associate professor at the Department of information systems and technologies, Faculty of Informatics and Computing Technology of Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Politechnic Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine, batrakeo@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0003-3027-4507

Markina O.

PhD in technical sciences, Associate professor at the Department of Information and Measurement Technologies, Faculty of Instrumentation Engineering of Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Politechnic Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine, O.N.Markina@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0002-4406-16447

Segol R.

PhD of Social Communications, Associate Professor at the Department of Publishing and Editing, Publishing and Printing Institute of Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine, segol.radmila@lll.kpi.ua

ORCID: 0000-0002-3231-531X

Маркін М. О.

канд. техн. наук, доц. кафедри інформаційно-вимірювальних технологій, приладобудівний факультет, КПІ ім. Ігоря Сікорського, м. Київ, Україна, M.Markin@ivt.kpi.ua

ORCID: 0000-0002-7266-5525

Батрак Є. О.

канд. техн. наук, доц. кафедри інформаційних систем та технологій, факультет інформатики та обчислювальної техніки, КПІ ім. Ігоря Сікорського, м. Київ, Україна, batrakeo@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0003-3027-4507

Маркіна О. М.

канд. техн. наук, доц. кафедри інформаційно-вимірювальних технологій, приладобудівний факультет, КПІ ім. Ігоря Сікорського, м. Київ, Україна, O.N.Markina@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0002-4406-16447

Сегол Р. І.

канд. наук із соц. комунікацій, доц. кафедри видавничої справи та редагування Навчально-наукового видавничо-поліграфічного інституту, КПІ ім. Ігоря Сікорського, м. Київ, Україна, segol.radmila@lll.kpi.ua

ORCID: 0000-0002-3231-531X

AUTOMATION OF CONTENT CREATION: HOW AI IS CHANGING THE BUSINESS MODELS OF MEDIA COMPANIES

АВТОМАТИЗАЦІЯ СТВОРЕННЯ КОНТЕНТУ: ЯК ШТУЧНИЙ ІНТЕЛЕКТ ЗМІНЮЄ БІЗНЕС-МОДЕЛІ МЕДІАКОМПАНІЙ

У цій статті розглядається вплив штучного інтелекту (ШІ) на створення медіа-контенту та пов'язані з цим наслідки для бізнес-моделей та інформаційної безпеки.

Інтеграція ШІ в медіа призвела до значних трансформацій у виробництві контенту, уможлививши безпрецедентний рівень ефективності, персоналізації та масштабованості. У дослідженні розглядається, як технології штучного інтелекту, такі як обробка природної мови та машинне навчання, застосовуються в секторах новин, розваг і соціальних мереж.

Тематичні дослідження таких великих організацій, як The New York Times, BBC, Netflix і Spotify, ілюструють різноманітні застосування штучного інтелекту у створенні, підтримці та доставці контенту. Попри численні переваги штучного інтелекту, він також створює виклики, пов'язані з дезінформацією, конфіденційністю даних та алгоритмічною упередженістю. У статті обговорюється потенціал фейкових новин і «глибоких фейків», створених штучним інтелектом, для підриву цілісності інформації. У статті також розглядається проблема «бульбашок фільтрів» і фрагментації публічного дискурсу через персоналізацію контенту за допомогою штучного інтелекту. У статті прогнозується подальший розвиток можливостей штучного інтелекту, особливо в обробці природної мови.

Однак вона наголошує на необхідності міждисциплінарних підходів, щоб орієнтуватися в складних етичних, правових і соціальних наслідках застосування ШІ в медіа. Майбутнє ШІ у створенні контенту, ймовірно, визначатиметься постійними обмеженнями та домовленостями між технологічними можливостями, етичними міркуваннями та регуляторними рамками.

У висновку статті підкреслюється важливість балансу між інноваціями та захистом цілісності інформації й основних принципів журналістики та медіа.

Ключові слова: бізнес-моделі медіа, бульбашки фільтрів, глибокі фейки, дезінформація, інформаційна безпека, конфіденційність даних, персоналізація контенту, створення медіаконтенту, штучний інтелект.

This article examines the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on media content creation and the associated implications for business models and information security. The integration of AI in media has led to significant transformations in content production, enabling unprecedented levels of efficiency, personalization, and scalability. The study explores how AI technologies like natural language processing and machine learning are being applied across news, entertainment, and social media sectors.

Case studies of major organizations like The New York Times, BBC, Netflix, and Spotify illustrate the diverse applications of AI in content generation, curation, and delivery. While AI offers numerous benefits, it also presents challenges related to misinformation, data privacy, and algorithmic bias. The article discusses the potential for AI-generated fake news and deepfakes to undermine information integrity. It also addresses concerns about filter bubbles and the fragmentation of public discourse due to AI-driven content

personalization. Looking forward, the article anticipates continued advancements in AI capabilities, particularly in natural language processing.

However, it emphasizes the need for interdisciplinary approaches to navigate the complex ethical, legal, and societal implications of AI in media. The future of AI in content creation will likely be shaped by ongoing negotiations between technological possibilities, ethical considerations, and regulatory frameworks.

The article concludes by highlighting the importance of balancing innovation with safeguarding information integrity and the core principles of journalism and media.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, content personalization, data privacy, deepfakes, filter bubbles, information security, media business models, media content creation, misinformation.

1. Introduction

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies has profoundly impacted various industries, with the media sector experiencing particularly significant transformations. The automation of content creation, powered by AI, is reshaping the landscape of media production and distribution, prompting a reevaluation of traditional business models and raising important questions about information security, privacy and data protection. This research was made with the support of Guangzhou International Sister City Universities Alliance.

1.1. Background and significance

The integration of AI in content creation has been gaining momentum over the past decade. What started with simple automated content generation (like sports scores and financial reports) has now expanded to more sophisticated applications. According to a comprehensive study by Marconi (2020), nearly one-third of the content published by Bloomberg News is now generated with the help of AI systems [27]. Marconi argues that AI can enhance rather than replace human journalists, allowing them to focus on more complex reporting tasks. Marconi explores various AI applications in newsrooms, from automated content generation to data analysis and personalized news delivery. Drawing on his experience at major news outlets like the Associated Press and Wall Street Journal, Marconi provides practical advice for integrating AI tools into newsroom workflows. He emphasizes that while AI can significantly boost journalistic capabilities, human judgment and ethical considerations remain paramount. This trend is not limited to news organizations; entertainment, social media, and marketing sectors are also increasingly leveraging AI for content production and curation.

AI tools have allowed news organizations to produce content at a much larger scale and more efficiently, addressing some of the economic challenges faced by the industry.

The significance of this shift cannot be overstated. As noted by Diakopoulos (2019), AI-driven content creation has the potential to dramatically increase the scale and speed of media production while simultaneously allowing for unprecedented levels of personalization [11]. However, this technological revolution also brings with it a host of challenges, particularly in the realm of information security and the potential for misuse. Many news organizations are still in an experimental phase with AI, testing different applications and trying to find the right balance between automation and human input.

AI is not just changing how news is produced, but also how it's consumed, with recommendation systems and chatbots becoming more common. News organizations are increasingly using chatbots to deliver news and interact with readers. These AI-powered interfaces can provide personalized news updates, answer queries, and even conduct simple interviews. AI-powered voice assistants like Alexa and Siri are changing how people access news, making it more conversational and integrated into daily routines. Also, AI algorithms can generate concise summaries of news articles, allowing readers to quickly grasp the main points of multiple stories, analyze the emotional tone of news content and reader reactions, potentially influencing content presentation and reader engagement strategies. AI-powered translation systems are making it easier for readers to access news from different languages and cultures, potentially broadening perspectives.

These developments highlight how AI is fundamentally changing the news consumption experience, making it more personalized, interactive, and potentially more engaging. However, they also raise important questions about information diversity, privacy, and the potential for manipulation of news delivery.

1.2. Objectives and scope of the study

This study aims to examine the multifaceted impact of AI-driven content automation on media business models and its implications for information security. Specifically, we seek to:

1. Analyze the current state of AI technologies in content creation across various media sectors.
2. Evaluate the transformation of media business models in response to AI integration.

3. Identify and assess the information security risks and threats associated with automated content creation.
4. Explore potential strategies for mitigating these risks while harnessing the benefits of AI in media.

The scope of this research encompasses both traditional media outlets and digital platforms, focusing on developments within the last five years. As highlighted by Graefe (2016), the rapid evolution of AI capabilities necessitates continual reassessment of its impact and potential [20].

By examining these aspects, this study contributes to the growing body of literature on AI in media and provides insights for industry professionals, policymakers, and researchers grappling with the challenges and opportunities presented by this technological shift.

2. AI in Media Content Creation: Current Landscape

The integration of AI technologies in media content creation has rapidly evolved, transforming traditional processes and opening new possibilities across various sectors. This section provides an overview of current AI applications in content generation, their implementation in different media domains, and specific case studies illustrating their impact.

2.1. Overview of AI technologies in content generation

AI technologies employed in content creation predominantly rely on natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning (ML) algorithms. These systems can analyze vast amounts of data, recognize patterns, and generate human-like text or multimedia content.

According to a comprehensive survey by Guzman and Lewis (2020), the most prevalent AI technologies in media content creation include [24]:

1. Natural Language Generation (NLG): Used for automatically producing written content.
2. Computer Vision: Employed in image and video analysis and generation.
3. Speech Recognition and Synthesis: Utilized in audio content production and transcription.
4. Predictive Analytics: Applied to forecast trends and tailor content to audience preferences.

2.2. Applications in news, entertainment, and social media

The use of artificial intelligence in modern media increasingly requires immersion and breakdown into different parts.

1. News Industry: The journalism sector has embraced AI technologies to enhance efficiency and accuracy in reporting. As noted by Beckett

(2019), a significant majority (79%) of leading news organizations have integrated AI into their operations [4]. These applications extend beyond automated news writing to include:

- Data-driven reporting: AI systems analyze vast datasets to uncover trends and stories that might be missed by human journalists.
- Real-time fact-checking: AI tools assist in verifying information rapidly, crucial in the age of fast-paced news cycles.
- Personalized news delivery: Algorithms tailor news content to individual reader preferences, increasing engagement.
- Language translation: AI enables quick and accurate translation of news articles, broadening global reach.

2. Entertainment Sector: The entertainment industry leverages AI to enhance creative processes and improve user experiences. Netflix's AI-powered recommendation system, saving the company an estimated $1 billion annually (Gomez-Uribe and Hunt, 2016), is just one example of AI's transformative power [17]. Other applications include:

- Script analysis and generation: AI tools assist in evaluating screenplay potential and even generating story ideas.
- Visual effects and animation: AI accelerates the creation of complex visual effects and realistic animations.
- Music composition: AI algorithms can create original music or assist composers in the creative process.
- Content localization: AI facilitates efficient adaptation of content for different cultural contexts and languages.

3. Social Media: Social media platforms have become testbeds for advanced AI applications, shaping user experiences and platform operations. Facebook's AI systems, which now detect and remove 96.8% of hate speech content before user reporting (Rosen, 2021), demonstrate the power of AI in content moderation [37]. Other key applications include:

- Content curation: AI algorithms determine what content users see, influencing information flow and user engagement.
- Targeted advertising: AI-driven systems analyze user data to deliver highly personalized advertisements.
- Trend prediction: AI helps identify emerging trends, allowing platforms and marketers to stay ahead of the curve.
- Deepfake detection: AI tools are being developed to combat the spread of manipulated media on social platforms.

The integration of AI across these media sectors is not without challenges. Ethical concerns regarding privacy, bias in AI systems, and the potential for AI to spread misinformation are ongoing debates. However, the transformative potential of AI in media content creation is undeniable, promising more personalized, efficient, and engaging media experiences for consumers while presenting new opportunities and challenges for content creators and media organizations.

2.3. Case studies of AI implementation in media

1. The New York Times

As of October 2024, The New York Times has been implementing AI in various aspects of its journalism and operations. Here are some key applications:

- Comment Moderation: The Times uses AI to help moderate reader comments, allowing for more articles to be open for discussion.
- Article Summaries: AI assists in creating bullet-point summaries of articles, making it easier for readers to get quick overviews.
- Audio Articles: The Times employs AI to convert written articles into audio versions, enhancing accessibility and offering alternative ways to consume content.
- Transcription: AI tools are used to transcribe interviews and audio content, saving time for journalists and improving efficiency.
- Data Analysis: AI helps journalists analyze large datasets to uncover trends and stories that might be difficult to spot manually.
- Photo Selection: AI assists in selecting photos for articles by analyzing image content and relevance.
- Content Recommendations: AI algorithms help personalize content recommendations for readers.

The Times emphasizes that while AI is a powerful tool, it's used to augment rather than replace human judgment. Editors and journalists maintain oversight and make final decisions on content. The newspaper also has an AI ethics policy in place to guide responsible use of the technology [25].

1. BBC

The BBC has been implementing AI in various aspects of its operations, focusing on enhancing content production and audience engagement. Here are some key applications:

- Synthetic Voice Technology: The BBC uses AI-powered synthetic voices for reading out articles, making content more accessible and available in audio format.

– Content Recommendations: AI algorithms help personalize content recommendations for users across BBC platforms.
– Audience Segmentation: AI assists in analyzing audience data to better understand different user groups and their preferences.
– Production Assistance: AI tools are used in the production process, including for tasks like identifying the most engaging moments in long-form content.
– News Gathering: The BBC employs AI to help monitor multiple news sources and identify breaking news stories more quickly.
– Subtitling and Translation: AI is used to improve the speed and accuracy of subtitling and translation services.

The BBC emphasizes that AI is used as a tool to augment human capabilities rather than replace journalists. The organization maintains a focus on editorial integrity and human oversight in all AI applications [19].

2. Netflix

Netflix has been at the forefront of using AI to improve streaming quality and user experience. Their latest advancements, as of 2023, focus on using neural networks to enhance video quality:

– Perceptual Video Quality Improvement: Netflix has developed a neural network-based approach to improve video quality. This system enhances the perceptual quality of video content without increasing the bitrate.
– Content-Aware Enhancement: The system is designed to be content-aware, meaning it can adapt its enhancement techniques based on the specific characteristics of each video scene.
– Efficiency in Streaming: By improving video quality without increasing file sizes, Netflix can deliver better viewing experiences while maintaining or even reducing bandwidth usage.
– Large-Scale Implementation: The system has been tested and implemented across Netflix's vast library of content, demonstrating its scalability and effectiveness in real-world applications.
– User Experience Focus: The primary goal of this AI implementation is to enhance viewer satisfaction by providing higher perceived video quality, especially noticeable on larger screens and in challenging scenes with complex textures or motion.

This advanced use of AI represents a significant step forward in video streaming technology, showcasing how machine learning can be applied to solve complex technical challenges in media delivery [28].

3. The Guardian

In September 2020, The Guardian conducted a groundbreaking experiment by tasking GPT-3, an advanced AI language model developed by OpenAI, to write an entire opinion piece. This experiment has had far-reaching implications for the journalism industry and beyond:

- AI-Generated Content: The article, titled "A robot wrote this entire article. Are you scared yet, human?", was entirely composed by GPT-3 after being given a brief prompt. This demonstrated the AI's capability to produce coherent, human-like text on complex topics.
- Editorial Process: Despite being AI-generated, the article went through an editorial process. The Guardian's editors selected the best parts from multiple outputs, highlighting the potential collaborative role between AI and human editors.
- Ethical Considerations: The experiment raised significant ethical questions about the use of AI in journalism, including issues of authorship, accountability, and the potential for bias in AI-generated content.
- Public Reaction: The publication of this AI-written article sparked widespread discussion and debate in the media industry and among the public about the future of journalism and the role of AI in content creation.
- Transparency: The Guardian was transparent about the process, publishing both the AI-generated content and an explanation of how it was produced, setting a precedent for transparency in AI use in journalism.
- Future Implications: This experiment opened discussions about potential applications of AI in journalism, from assisting with research and data analysis to potentially automating certain types of reporting.

This case study represents a pivotal moment in the exploration of AI's role in journalism. It not only demonstrated the capabilities of AI in content generation but also initiated crucial discussions about the future of journalism, the evolving role of human journalists, and the ethical implications of AI in media. The experiment continues to influence ongoing debates about the integration of AI in newsrooms and its potential to transform the landscape of media and content creation [23].

4. Associated Press (AP):

The Associated Press has been at the forefront of integrating Artificial Intelligence into journalism, with their initiatives dating back to 2014.

Automated News Writing: AP uses AI to generate stories on corporate earnings reports and sports. This automation has dramatically increased their coverage capacity, from 300 stories per quarter to 3,700 in earnings reporting alone.

Efficiency and Resource Allocation: By automating routine stories, AP has freed up journalists to focus on more complex, high-impact reporting. The time saved is redirected towards in-depth analysis, investigative journalism, and feature stories.

Data Analysis: AI tools assist in analyzing vast amounts of data, helping journalists uncover stories and trends that might otherwise be missed.

Content Classification and Metadata Tagging: AP employs AI to automatically classify content and add relevant metadata, improving searchability and content organization.

Image Recognition: AI is used to scan and tag images, enhancing the efficiency of photo and video workflows.

Research and Fact-Checking: AI tools aid in research processes and assist in fact-checking, improving the accuracy and speed of reporting.

Ethics and Transparency: AP maintains a strong focus on ethical AI use, ensuring transparency about when and how AI is used in content creation.

Continuous Innovation: The organization continues to explore new applications of AI in journalism, staying at the cutting edge of media technology.

Training and Skill Development: AP invests in training its staff to work alongside AI tools, ensuring journalists can effectively leverage these technologies.

Industry Leadership: As an early adopter, AP has become a leader in demonstrating how AI can be responsibly integrated into newsrooms.

This case study showcases AP's comprehensive and long-term approach to integrating AI in journalism. It highlights how a major news organization can leverage AI not just for content generation, but across various aspects of news production, from research to distribution. AP's experience demonstrates the potential of AI to enhance journalistic capabilities while maintaining a commitment to quality, ethics, and the core values of journalism [3].

5. The Washington Post:

The Post's AI system, Heliograf, was used to cover the 2016 Rio Olympics and U.S. elections. In its first year, Heliograf produced approximately 850 articles. The system has since evolved to assist reporters by

identifying trends in data and alerting journalists to potential stories. In October 2020, The Washington Post announced a significant advancement in their use of AI technology, specifically for election coverage:

AI-Generated Audio Updates: The Post introduced AI-powered audio updates to deliver real-time election results to listeners. This technology converts written election data into natural-sounding audio reports.

Integration with Smart Speakers: The audio updates were designed to be accessible via Amazon Alexa-enabled devices, expanding the Post's reach to smart speaker users.

Real-Time Reporting: The system provides up-to-the-minute election results, offering listeners the latest information as soon as it becomes available.

Personalized Content: Users can customize their experience by asking for results from specific states or races they're interested in.

Complementing Human Journalism: While AI generates these audio updates, they complement the Post's broader election coverage produced by journalists.

Expanding Digital Presence: This move strengthens the Post's position in the digital audio space, an increasingly important medium for news consumption.

User Interaction: The technology allows for a more interactive news experience, with users able to query for specific information [38].

Spotify:

Spotify's AI-driven playlist creation, particularly its "Discover Weekly" feature, has been a significant factor in user retention. The system analyzes listening habits of its 356 million users to create personalized playlists, processing over 100 billion data points daily (Pasick, 2015) [36, 40, 33].

As of April 2024, Spotify has launched a new feature that leverages artificial intelligence to create highly personalized playlists based on user prompts. This represents a significant advancement in how AI is being used to enhance user experience in music streaming:

AI Playlist Creation: Users can now create custom playlists by entering text prompts, such as "upbeat songs for a road trip" or "relaxing jazz for a rainy day." The AI system interprets these prompts and generates playlists tailored to the user's specific request and music preferences.

Natural Language Processing: The feature utilizes advanced natural language processing to understand and interpret user prompts accurately.

Personalization: The AI takes into account the user's listening history, liked songs, and followed artists to ensure the generated playlists are personalized.

Diverse Prompt Handling: The system can handle a wide range of prompts, including mood-based requests, activity-specific playlists, and genre combinations.

Integration with Existing Features: This new AI capability complements Spotify's existing personalized features like Discover Weekly and Daily Mix.

These case studies demonstrate the wide-ranging applications of AI in content creation across different media sectors. However, as noted by Thurman et al. (2019), the implementation of these technologies also raises important questions about the changing nature of media work and the potential implications for content quality and diversity [39].

3. Transformation of Media Business Models

The integration of AI into content creation has catalyzed significant changes in media business models, reshaping production processes, revenue streams, and audience engagement strategies.

3.1. Shift to AI-augmented content production

Traditional media production workflows are being reimagined with AI at their core. This shift is characterized by:

1. Automation of routine tasks: AI systems are increasingly handling repetitive tasks such as data analysis, content tagging, and basic reporting, allowing human journalists to focus on more complex, high-value activities.
2. Hybrid human-AI collaboration: Graefe et al. (2018) found that 72% of newsrooms surveyed were exploring hybrid models where AI augments human capabilities rather than replacing them entirely [21].
3. Real-time content generation: AI enables the production of real-time, data-driven content, particularly valuable in areas like financial reporting and sports coverage.

3.2. Economic impacts: efficiency and scalability

The adoption of AI in content creation has significant economic implications:

1. Cost reduction: A study by the Reuters Institute (2019) indicated that media organizations implementing AI reported cost savings of up to 30% in content production [29].

2. Increased output: The Associated Press, for example, increased its earnings reports coverage by 12 times after implementing AI, without increasing staff (Peiser, 2019) [35].
3. New revenue streams: AI-driven content creation has enabled media companies to offer new, personalized products and services. For instance, The New York Times' efforts to digitize millions of historical photos using AI technology has opened up new possibilities for content creation and audience engagement (Owen, 2018) [31].

3.3. Content personalization and targeting

AI has revolutionized how media companies understand and cater to their audiences:

1. Predictive analytics: AI algorithms analyze user behavior to predict content preferences, enabling more effective content strategy and resource allocation.
2. Dynamic content delivery: Companies like Netflix and Spotify use AI to deliver personalized content recommendations, significantly improving user engagement and retention (Gomez-Uribe and Hunt, 2016) [17].
3. Precision advertising: AI-driven ad targeting has become a cornerstone of digital media business models. A study by Deloitte (2020) found that AI-powered ad targeting increased conversion rates by an average of 22% in media and entertainment companies [10].

However, these transformations are not without challenges. As noted by Flew et al. (2020), the shift towards AI-driven personalization raises concerns about filter bubbles and the fragmentation of public discourse [15]. Additionally, the reliance on AI for content creation and distribution may exacerbate existing inequalities in the media landscape, favoring larger organizations with more resources to invest in these technologies.

Despite these challenges, the transformation of media business models through AI integration appears to be an irreversible trend. As AI technologies continue to evolve, media companies will need to adapt their strategies to balance the benefits of automation and personalization with the core journalistic values of accuracy, transparency, and public service.

4. Information Security Risks and Threats

The integration of artificial intelligence in media content creation, while offering substantial benefits, simultaneously introduces significant challenges to information security. This section explores the primary risks

and threats associated with AI-driven content generation in the contemporary media landscape.

The capability of AI to generate human-like text at scale has raised concerns about the potential for mass production of false or misleading information. Zellers et al. (2019) demonstrated that AI models could generate fake news articles that humans found credible, highlighting the potential for large-scale misinformation campaigns [43]. This phenomenon extends beyond text to encompass audio and video content, commonly referred to as deepfakes. Chesney and Citron (2019) argue that the proliferation of deepfakes poses a significant threat to information integrity, potentially undermining journalism, diplomacy, and democratic processes [8].

Moreover, the issue of bias in AI-generated content presents a multifaceted challenge. AI systems, when trained on biased data, have the potential to perpetuate and amplify societal biases in the content they generate. Noble (2018) extensively documented this phenomenon in her seminal work on algorithmic oppression, emphasizing the far-reaching implications of such biases in shaping public discourse and reinforcing existing inequalities [30].

The utilization of AI in content creation and distribution often relies on vast amounts of user data, raising significant privacy concerns. The accumulation of large datasets for AI training and personalization increases the risk of data breaches, as exemplified by the 2019 breach at Cultura Colectiva that exposed 540 million Facebook user records (Whittaker, 2019) [42]. Furthermore, AI-driven content personalization frequently involves detailed user profiling, which can infringe on individual privacy. A comprehensive study by Eskens et al. (2017) revealed that news personalization practices often lack transparency and user control, raising ethical questions about the balance between personalization and privacy [13].

The reliance on AI algorithms for content creation and curation can lead to biased outcomes, further complicating the information security landscape. Ali et al. (2019) conducted a groundbreaking study demonstrating that even with neutral ad targeting, Facebook's ad delivery system could result in discriminatory outcomes [1]. This algorithmic bias extends beyond advertising to content curation, potentially reinforcing existing inequalities in media representation and limiting exposure to diverse viewpoints.

The concept of filter bubbles, first popularized by Pariser (2011), remains highly relevant in the context of AI-curated media [32]. The personalization

of content, while enhancing user engagement, may inadvertently contribute to the fragmentation of public discourse by limiting exposure to opposing viewpoints. This phenomenon poses a significant challenge to the traditional role of media in fostering a shared public sphere and facilitating democratic dialogue.

Addressing these multifaceted risks and threats requires a nuanced approach that balances the benefits of AI in media with robust safeguards and ethical guidelines. As Diakopoulos (2020) argues, there is a pressing need for increased algorithmic accountability in computational journalism to ensure transparency and mitigate potential biases [12]. The evolving nature of AI technologies necessitates ongoing research and policy development to maintain the integrity of information ecosystems and preserve public trust in media institutions.

5. Mitigating Risks and Threats

The proliferation of AI in media content creation necessitates a multifaceted approach to risk mitigation. This section examines technological solutions, regulatory frameworks, and industry best practices aimed at addressing the challenges posed by AI-driven content generation.

Technological solutions play a crucial role in combating the negative effects of AI-generated misinformation. Advances in natural language processing and machine learning have led to the development of sophisticated detection algorithms capable of identifying synthetic content. For instance, Graves (2018) describes the implementation of automated fact-checking systems that can verify claims in real-time, potentially curbing the spread of false information [22]. However, as Lazer et al. (2018) argue, technological solutions alone are insufficient, and must be complemented by human oversight and critical thinking [26].

The regulatory landscape surrounding AI in media is rapidly evolving, with policymakers grappling with the dual challenges of fostering innovation and protecting public interests. The European Union's proposed Artificial Intelligence Act represents a comprehensive attempt to regulate AI applications, including those in media content creation. This legislation aims to establish a risk-based approach, with stringent requirements for high-risk AI systems that could impact fundamental rights (European Commission, 2021) [14]. However, critics like Veale and Borgesius (2021) contend that such broad regulatory frameworks may struggle to address the nuanced challenges specific to AI in media [41].

Industry self-regulation and the adoption of ethical guidelines have emerged as complementary approaches to formal legislation. The development of ethical AI principles by major technology companies and media organizations reflects a growing awareness of the need for responsible AI deployment. For example, the Associated Press has published a set of guidelines for the use of AI in newsrooms, emphasizing transparency and maintaining editorial control (Associated Press, 2020) [2]. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of self-regulation remains a subject of debate, with scholars like Fengler et al. (2022) questioning its adequacy in the absence of external enforcement mechanisms [18].

The intersection of these mitigation strategies highlights the complex nature of addressing AI-related risks in media. As AI technologies continue to advance, a dynamic and collaborative approach involving technologists, policymakers, and media practitioners will be essential to navigate the evolving landscape of AI-driven content creation.

6. Future Prospects and Challenges

The trajectory of AI in media content creation suggests a future replete with both unprecedented opportunities and complex challenges. This section examines emerging trends and the delicate balance between innovation and security concerns in the evolving media landscape.

The continued advancement of natural language processing (NLP) technologies promises to further enhance the capabilities of AI in content generation. Recent developments in large language models, such as GPT-3, have demonstrated remarkable proficiency in producing human-like text across various genres and styles (Brown et al., 2020) [7]. These advancements portend a future where AI could potentially handle increasingly sophisticated content creation tasks, from drafting in-depth analytical pieces to crafting nuanced narrative structures.

However, the ethical implications of such advancements remain a subject of intense scholarly debate. Bender et al. (2021) raise critical questions about the environmental and financial costs of training ever-larger language models, as well as the potential for these models to perpetuate harmful biases and misinformation [5]. Their work underscores the need for a more holistic approach to AI development in media, one that considers not only technological capabilities but also societal impact and sustainability.

The integration of AI with other emerging technologies, such as augmented reality (AR) and the Internet of Things (IoT), presents intriguing

possibilities for immersive and context-aware content experiences. Pavlik (2019) explores how these technological convergences could revolutionize storytelling and audience engagement in journalism, while also highlighting the attendant privacy and security concerns [34].

As AI systems become more sophisticated in content creation and curation, questions of authorship, creativity, and intellectual property rights come to the fore. Gervais (2020) provides a comprehensive analysis of the legal challenges posed by AI-generated content, arguing for a reconsideration of copyright frameworks in the age of artificial creativity [16]. This legal uncertainty may have profound implications for media business models and creative industries at large.

The potential for AI to exacerbate information asymmetries and power imbalances in the media ecosystem cannot be overlooked. Couldry and Mejias (2019) warn of the dangers of "data colonialism," where the vast data collection required for AI systems could lead to new forms of exploitation and control [9]. Their work emphasizes the need for critical engagement with the socio-economic implications of AI in media beyond mere technological considerations.

Ultimately, the future of AI in media content creation will likely be shaped by ongoing negotiations between technological possibilities, ethical considerations, and regulatory frameworks. As Broussard et al. (2019) argue, there is a pressing need for interdisciplinary approaches that bring together technologists, ethicists, policymakers, and media practitioners to navigate the complex terrain of AI-driven media [6].

The coming years will undoubtedly see continued debates over the appropriate use of AI in content creation, the preservation of human agency in journalism and creative processes, and the maintenance of a healthy, diverse media ecosystem. As these technologies continue to evolve, so too must our approaches to harnessing their potential while mitigating their risks.

Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence into media content creation represents a paradigm shift in the landscape of information production and dissemination. This study has examined the multifaceted impact of AI on media business models and the attendant implications for information security. The findings underscore both the transformative potential of AI technologies and the complex challenges they present to the media ecosystem.

Our analysis reveals that the adoption of AI in content creation has catalyzed significant changes in media production processes, enabling

unprecedented levels of efficiency, personalization, and scalability. However, these advancements come with concomitant risks, particularly in the realms of misinformation propagation, data privacy, and algorithmic bias. The work of Zellers et al. (2019) on AI-generated fake news [43] and Chesney and Citron's (2019) analysis of deepfakes highlight the potential threats to information integrity [8].

The challenges posed by AI-driven content creation extend beyond technological concerns to encompass ethical, legal, and societal considerations. Noble's (2018) work on algorithmic oppression underscores the potential for AI systems to perpetuate and amplify societal biases [30]. Meanwhile, the study by Ali et al. (2019) on algorithmic bias in ad delivery systems demonstrates how even seemingly neutral AI applications can lead to discriminatory outcomes [1].

The regulatory landscape surrounding AI in media is still evolving. The European Union's proposed Artificial Intelligence Act represents a significant step towards comprehensive regulation, but its efficacy in addressing the nuanced challenges of AI in media remains to be seen (European Commission, 2021) [14]. Industry self-regulation and the adoption of ethical guidelines have emerged as complementary approaches, though their adequacy in the absence of external enforcement mechanisms remains a subject of debate, as highlighted by the work of Gorwa et al. (2020) [18].

Looking forward, the continued advancement of AI technologies, such as the large language models discussed by Brown et al. (2020), promises further disruptions and opportunities in the media sector [7]. However, as Bender et al. (2021) argue, these advancements also raise critical questions about the environmental and societal costs of AI development [5].

In conclusion, this study underscores the need for an interdisciplinary approach to navigating the complex terrain of AI-driven media. Addressing the challenges and harnessing the potential of AI in media content creation will require collaboration between technologists, ethicists, policymakers, and media practitioners. Future research should focus on developing robust frameworks for algorithmic accountability, exploring the long-term societal impacts of AI-generated content, and investigating strategies for preserving human agency and creativity in an increasingly automated media landscape.

Ultimately, the future of AI in media will be shaped by our collective ability to balance technological innovation with ethical considerations and

societal values. As these technologies continue to evolve, so too must our approaches to harnessing their potential while safeguarding the integrity of our information ecosystems and the foundational principles of journalism and media.

СПИСОК ВИКОРИСТАНОЇ ЛІТЕРАТУРИ

1. Ali M. et al. Discrimination through optimization: How Facebook's ad delivery can lead to biased outcomes. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction. 2019. Vol. 3, CSCW. P. 1–30. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3359301.
2. Associated Press's Artificial Intelligence Statement of Principles and Best Practices. Associated Press, 2020.
3. Artificial intelligence. Associated Press. URL: https://www.ap.org/solutions/artificial-intelligence/ (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
4. Beckett C. New powers, new responsibilities. A global survey of journalism and artificial intelligence. London School of Economics and Political Science, 2019. 111 p.
5. Bender E. M. et al. On the Dangers of Stochastic Parrots: Can Language Models Be Too Big? Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency. 2021. P. 610–623. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445922.
6. Broussard M. et al. Artificial Intelligence and Journalism. Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly. 2019. Vol. 96, no. 3. P. 673–695. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699019859901.
7. Brown T. B. et al. Language models are few-shot learners. arXiv pr eprint arXiv:2005.14165. 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2005.14165.
8. Chesney R., Citron D. K. Deep Fakes: A Looming Challenge for Privacy, Democracy, and National Security. California Law Review. 2019. Vol. 107. P. 1753. URL: https://www.californialawreview.org/print/deep-fakes-a-looming-challenge-for-privacy-democracy-and-national-security (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
9. Couldry N., Mejias U. A. The costs of connection: How data is colonizing human life and appropriating it for capitalism. Stanford University Press, 2019. 62 p.
10. 2020 Media and Entertainment Industry Outlook. Deloitte, 2020. URL: https://www2.deloitte.com/gr/en/pages/technology-media-and-

telecommunications/articles/2020-Media-and-Entertainment-Industry-Outlook.html (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
11. Diakopoulos N. Automating the News: How Algorithms Are Rewriting the Media. Harvard University Press, 2019. 336 p.
12. Diakopoulos N. Computational News Discovery: Towards Design Considerations for Editorial Orientation Algorithms in Journalism. Digital Journalism. 2020. Vol. 8, no. 7. P. 945–967. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1736946.
13. Eskens S., Helberger N., Moeller J. Challenged by news personalisation: five perspectives on the right to receive information. Journal of Media Law. 2017. Vol. 9, no. 2. P. 259–284. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/17577632.2017.1387353.
14. Proposal for a Regulation laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence. European Commission, 2021. URL: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52021PC0206 (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
15. Flew T., Martin F., Suzor N. Internet regulation as media policy: Rethinking the question of digital communication platform governance. Journal of Digital Media & Policy. 2020. Vol. 10, no. 1. P. 33–50. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1386/jdmp.10.1.33_1.
16. Gervais D. J. The Machine as Author. Iowa Law Review. 2020. Vol. 105. P. 2053–2106. URL: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3359524 (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
17. Gomez-Uribe C. A., Hunt N. The Netflix recommender system: Algorithms, business value, and innovation. ACM Transactions on Management Information Systems. 2016. Vol. 6, no. 4. P. 1–19. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1145/2843948.
18. Gorwa R., Binns R., Katzenbach C. Algorithmic content moderation: Technical and political challenges in the automation of platform governance. Big Data & Society. 2020. Vol. 7, no. 1. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951719897945.
19. Goyal S. How the BBC is using artificial intelligence. Journalism.co.uk. 2023. URL: https://www.journalism.co.uk/news/how-the-bbc-is-using-artificial-intelligence/s2/a1168901/ (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
20. Graefe A. Guide to Automated Journalism. Tow Center for Digital Journalism, Columbia Journalism Review. 2016. URL: https://www.cjr.org/tow_center_reports/guide_to_automated_journalism.php (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).

21. Graefe A. et al. Readers' perception of computer-generated news: Credibility, expertise, and readability. Journalism. 2018. Vol. 19, no. 5. P. 595–610. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884916641269.
22. Graves L. Understanding the Promise and Limits of Automated Fact-Checking. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, 2018. URL: https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2018-02/graves_factsheet_180226% 20FINAL.pdf (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
23. GPT-3. A robot wrote this entire article. Are you scared yet, human? The Guardian. 2020. URL: https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/sep/08/robot-wrote-this-article-gpt-3 (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
24. Guzman A. L., Lewis S. C. Artificial intelligence and communication: A Human — Machine Communication research agenda. New Media & Society. 2020. Vol. 22, no. 1. P. 70–86. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444819858691.
25. Holcomb J., Schonfeld Z. How The New York Times uses A.I. in its journalism. The New York Times. 2024. URL: https://www.nytimes.com/2024/10/07/reader-center/how-new-york-times-uses-ai-journalism.html (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
26. Lazer D. M. et al. The science of fake news. Science. 2018. Vol. 359, no. 6380. P. 1094–1096. DOI: 10.1126/science.aao2998.
27. Marconi F. Newsmakers: Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Journalism. Columbia University Press, 2020. 216 p.
28. For your eyes only: Improving Netflix video quality with neural networks. Netflix Research. URL: https://research.netflix.com/publication/for-your-eyes-only-improving-netflix-video-quality-with-neural-networks (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
29. Newman N. Journalism, media, and technology trends and predictions 2019. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, 2019. URL: https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/our-research/journalism-media-and-technology-trends-and-predictions-2019 (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
30. Noble S. U. Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism. NYU Press, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.18574/nyu/9781479833641.001.0001.
31. Owen L. H. The New York Times is digitizing more than 5 million photos dating back to the 1800s. Nieman Lab. 2018. URL: https://www.niemanlab.org/2018/11/the-new-york-times-is-digitizing-more-than-5-million-photos-dating-back-to-the-1800s/ (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).

32. Pariser E. The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you. Penguin UK, 2011. 294 p.
33. Pasick A. The magic that makes Spotify's Discover Weekly playlists so damn good. Quartz. 2015. URL: https://qz.com/571007/the-magic-that-makes-spotifys-discover-weekly-playlists-so-damn-good (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
34. Pavlik J. V. Journalism in the Age of Virtual Reality: How Experiential Media Are Transforming News. Columbia University Press, 2019. 296 p.
35. Peiser J. The Rise of the Robot Reporter. The New York Times. 2019. URL: https://www.nytimes.com/2019/02/05/business/media/artificial-intelligence-journalism-robots.html (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
36. Perez S. Spotify launches personalized AI playlists you can build using prompts. TechCrunch. 2024. URL: https://techcrunch.com/2024/04/07/spotify-launches-personalized-ai-playlists-you-can-build-using-prompts/ (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
37. Rosen G. Community Standards Enforcement Report, First Quarter 2021. Facebook Newsroom. 2021. URL: https://about.fb.com/news/2021/05/community-standards-enforcement-report-q1-2021/ (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
38. The Washington Post to debut AI-powered audio updates for 2020 election results. The Washington Post. 2020. URL: https://washingtonpost.com/pr/2020/10/13/washington-post-debut-ai-powered-audio-updates-2020-election-results/ (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
39. Thurman N., Dörr K., Kunert J. When reporters get hands-on with robo-writing: Professionals consider automated journalism's capabilities and consequences. Digital Journalism. 2019. Vol. 7, no. 8. P. 1028–1053. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2017.1289819.
40. Underwood C. Automated journalism — AI applications at New York Times, Reuters, and other media giants. Emerj. 2019. URL: https://emerj.com/ai-sector-overviews/automated-journalism-applications/ (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
41. Veale M., Borgesius F. Z. Demystifying the Draft EU Artificial Intelligence Act. Computer Law Review International. 2021. Vol. 22, no. 4. P. 97–112. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2107.03721.
42. Whittaker Z. Millions of Facebook records found on publicly accessible Amazo n servers. TechCrunch. 2019. URL: https://techcrunch.com/2019/04/03/facebook-records-exposed-server/ (дата звернення: 21.10.2024).
43. Zellers R. et al. Defending against neural fake news. arXiv preprint arXiv: 1905.12616. 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1905.12616.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, M., Sapiezynski, P., Bogen, M., Korolova, A., Mislove, A., & Rieke, A. (2019). Discrimination through optimization: How Facebook's ad delivery can lead to biased outcomes. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 3(CSCW), 1–30. https://doi.org/10.1145/3359301
2. Associated Press. (2020). AP's Artificial Intelligence Statement of Principles and Best Practices.
3. Associated Press. (n.d.). Artificial intelligence. Retrieved October 21, 2024, from https://www.ap.org/solutions/artificial-intelligence/
4. Beckett, C. (2019). New powers, new responsibilities: A global survey of journalism and artificial intelligence. London School of Economics and Political Science.
5. Bender, E. M., Gebru, T., McMillan-Major, A., & Shmitchell, S. (2021). On the dangers of stochastic parrots: Can language models be too big? In Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (pp. 610–623). https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445922
6. Broussard, M., Diakopoulos, N., Guzman, A. L., Abebe, R., Dupagne, M., & Chuan, C. H. (2019). Artificial intelligence and journalism. Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly, 96(3), 673–695. https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699019859901
7. Brown, T. B., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., Agarwal, S., Herbert-Voss, A., Krueger, G., Henighan, T., Child, R., Ramesh, A., Ziegler, D. M., Wu, J., Winter, C., … Amodei, D. (2020). Language models are few-shot learners. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2005.14165
8. Chesney, R., & Citron, D. K. (2019). Deep fakes: A looming challenge for privacy, democracy, and national security. California Law Review, 107, 1753–1820. https://www.californialawreview.org/print/deep-fakes-a-looming-challenge-for-privacy-democracy-and-national-security
9. Couldry, N., & Mejias, U. A. (2019). The costs of connection: How data is colonizing human life and appropriating it for capitalism. Stanford University Press.
10. Deloitte. (2020). 2020 Media and Entertainment Industry Outlook. Retrieved October 21, 2024, from https://www2.deloitte.com/gr/en/pages/technology-media-and-telecommunications/articles/2020-Media-and-Entertainment-Industry-Outlook.html
11. Diakopoulos, N. (2019). Automating the news: How algorithms are rewriting the media. Harvard University Press.

12. Diakopoulos, N. (2020). Computational news discovery: Towards design considerations for editorial orientation algorithms in journalism. Digital Journalism, 8(7), 945–967. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1736946
13. Eskens, S., Helberger, N., & Moeller, J. (2017). Challenged by news personalisation: Five perspectives on the right to receive information. Journal of Media Law, 9(2), 259–284. https://doi.org/10.1080/17577632.2017.1387353
14. European Commission. (2021). Proposal for a Regulation laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence. Retrieved October 21, 2024, from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:-52021PC0206
15. Flew, T., Martin, F., & Suzor, N. (2020). Internet regulation as media policy: Rethinking the question of digital communication platform governance. Journal of Digital Media & Policy, 10(1), 33–50. https://doi.org/10.1386/jdmp.10.1.33_1
16. Gervais, D. J. (2020). The machine as author. Iowa Law Review, 105, 2053–2106.
17. Gomez-Uribe, C. A., & Hunt, N. (2016). The Netflix recommender system: Algorithms, business value, and innovation. ACM Transactions on Management Information Systems, 6(4), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1145/2843948
18. Gorwa, R., Binns, R., & Katzenbach, C. (2020). Algorithmic content moderation: Technical and political challenges in the automation of platform governance. Big Data & Society, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951719897945
19. Goyal, S. (2023, April 7). How the BBC is using artificial intelligence. Journalism.co.uk. https://www.journalism.co.uk/news/how-the-bbc-is-using-artificial-intelligence/s2/a1168901/
20. Graefe, A. (2016). Guide to automated journalism. Tow Center for Digital Journalism, Columbia University. https://www.cjr.org/tow_center_reports/guide_to_automated_journalism.php
21. Graefe, A., Haim, M., Haarmann, B., & Brosius, H. B. (2018). Readers' perception of computer-generated news: Credibility, expertise, and readability. Journalism, 19(5), 595–610. https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884916641269
22. Graves, L. (2018). Understanding the promise and limits of automated fact-checking. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism. https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2018-02/graves_factsheet_180226% 20FINAL.pdf

23. GPT-3. (2020, September 8). A robot wrote this entire article. Are you scared yet, human? The Guardian. https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2020/sep/08/robot-wrote-this-article-gpt-3
24. Guzman, A. L., & Lewis, S. C. (2020). Artificial intelligence and communication: A Human — Machine Communication research agenda. New Media & Society, 22(1), 70-86. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444819858691
25. Holcomb, J., & Schonfeld, Z. (2024, October 7). How The New York Times uses A.I. in its journalism. The New York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/2024/10/07/reader-center/how-new-york-times-uses-ai-journalism.html
26. Lazer, D. M., Baum, M. A., Benkler, Y., Berinsky, A. J., Greenhill, K. M., Menczer, F., Metzger, M. J., Nyhan, B., Pennycook, G., Rothschild, D., Schudson, M., Sloman, S. A., Sunstein, C. R., Thorson, E. A., Watts, D. J., & Zittrain, J. L. (2018). The science of fake news. Science, 359(6380), 1094–1096. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao2998
27. Marconi, F. (2020). Newsmakers: Artificial intelligence and the future of journalism. Columbia University Press.
28. Netflix Research. (n.d.). For your eyes only: Improving Netflix video quality with neural networks. Retrieved October 21, 2024, from https://research.netflix.com/publication/for-your-eyes-only-improving-netflix-video-quality-with-neural-networks
29. Newman, N. (2019). Journalism, media, and technology trends and predictions 2019. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism. https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/our-research/journalism-media-and-technology-trends-and-predictions-2019
30. Noble, S. U. (2018). Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism. NYU Press. https://doi.org/10.18574/nyu/9781479833641.001.0001
31. Owen, L. H. (2018, November 9). The New York Times is digitizing more than 5 million photos dating back to the 1800s. Nieman Lab. https://www.niemanlab.org/2018/11/the-new-york-times-is-digitizing-more-than-5-million-photos-dating-back-to-the-1800s/
32. Pariser, E. (2011). The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you. Penguin UK.
33. Pasick, A. (2015, December 21). The magic that makes Spotify's Discover Weekly playlists so damn good. Quartz. https://qz.com/571007/the-magic-that-makes-spotifys-discover-weekly-playlists-so-damn-good
34. Pavlik, J. V. (2019). Journalism in the age of virtual reality: How experiential media are transforming news. Columbia University Press.

35. Peiser, J. (2019, February 5). The rise of the robot reporter. The New York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/2019/02/05/business/media/artificial-intelligence-journalism-robots.html
36. Perez, S. (2024, April 7). Spotify launches personalized AI playlists you can build using prompts. TechCrunch. https://techcrunch.com/2024/04/07/spotify-launches-personalized-ai-playlists-you-can-build-using-prompts/
37. Rosen, G. (2021, May 19). Community Standards Enforcement Report, First Quarter 2021. Facebook Newsroom. https://about.fb.com/news/2021/05/community-standards-enforcement-report-q1-2021/
38. The Washington Post. (2020, October 13). The Washington Post to debut AI-powered audio updates for 2020 election results. https://washingtonpost.com/pr/2020/10/13/washington-post-debut-ai-powered-audio-updates-2020-election-results/
39. Thurman, N., Dörr, K., & Kunert, J. (2019). When reporters get hands-on with robo-writing: Professionals consider automated journalism's capabilities and consequences. Digital Journalism, 7(8), 1028–1053. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2017.1289819
40. Underwood, C. (2019, March 16). Automated journalism — AI applications at New York Times, Reuters, and other media giants. Emerj. https://emerj.com/ai-sector-overviews/automated-journalism-applications/
41. Veale, M., & Borgesius, F. Z. (2021). Demystifying the Draft EU Artificial Intelligence Act. Computer Law Review International, 22(4), 97–112. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2107.03721
42. Whittaker, Z. (2019, April 3). Millions of Facebook records found on publicly accessible Amazon servers. TechCrunch. https://techcrunch.com/2019/04/03/facebook-records-exposed-server/
43. Zellers, R., Holtzman, A., Rashkin, H., Bisk, Y., Farhadi, A., Roesner, F., & Choi, Y. (2019). Defending against neural fake news. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1905.12616